

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,793	09/28/2001	Takatsugu Nakazawa	P21331	2871	
7055	7590 08/13/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			ASHBURN	ASHBURN, STEVEN L	
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11201011, 11			3714		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/964,793	NAKAZAWA ET AL	· ~ ~ ~ ~				
navisory nousin	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Steven Ashburn	3714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejectine FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP				
ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offici	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	` ' ——						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		•					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the				
. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
∑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		WX_					
		MARK SAG	ER MINER				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's argument's are unpersuasive. A "single operation" may comprise several steps. Thus, the claim language fails to distinguish from the method disclosed by Gonzales wherein character switching is accomplished using an operation including several steps. The rejection is respectfully maintained for the reasons set forth in the office action dated Apr. 26, 2004.

Continuation of 10. Other: The proposed amendment does not change the scope of the claims. "An operation" is equivalent to "a single operation" In either case, the operation may comprise several steps. Thus the examiner maintains the rejection for the reasons given in the office action dated Apr. 26, 2004.